PROGRESS REPORT OF THE CANDIDATE (Report Period)

(Report I criou	••••••
Name of the Candidate :	
Regn. No. & Date of Doctoral Registration :	
Thesis Title :	
Place of Work :	
Name of Supervisor(s) with designation & address :	
Progress Report should be grouped under the following by to the chairman of the DRC: 1. OBJECTIVE OF THE RESEARCH PROJECT 2. OUTLINE OF THE IMPLEMENTATION MET 3. WORK DONE 4. WORK TO BE DONE 5. EXPECTED TIME OF COMPLETION 6. DIFFICULTIES IN IMPEMENTATION OF TI 7. SUGGESTIONS NEED TO BE CONSIDERED COUNCIL 8. DETAILS OF RESEARCH PAPERS CONFERENCES/SEMINARS ATTENDED 9. CONCLUSIONS	THODOLOGY HE PORJECT D BY THE DRC AND RESEARCH
PLACE	SIGNATURE OF THE CANDIDATE

REMARKS & FORWARDING NOTE OF THE SUPERVISOR

(with signature, date & place)

DATE

REMARKS & FORWARDING NOTE OF THE DRC

(with signature of all members including chairman)

DECLARATION OF ATTENDANCE

I declare that the thesis entitled	is my own
work conducted under the supervision of Dr	Of University
Department of of Dr. Shyama	Prasad Mukherjee University,
Ranchi. I have put in more thandays of attenda	nce at the place of work and with
the supervisor. I further declare that to the best of my know	wledge the thesis does not contain
any part of any work which has been submitted for the	award of any degree or diploma
within this University or in any other University without pr	oper citation.
Signature of the Supervisor	Full signature of the candidate
Signature of the Supervisor	i un signature of the candidate

Forwarding note of the DRC

SUPERVISOR'S CERTIFICATE

This i	s to	certify	that	the resea	arch w	ork	report	ed in	this	thesis	ent	itled
					." is an	1 aut	thentic	record	of the	resear	ch v	vork
independ	dently c	arried out	t by Mr.	/ Mrs. / M	SS				, re	gistratio	n nun	nber
		at	Univers	sity Depar	tment of	.			un	der my	guida	ance
and supe	ervision	, in fulfilr	ment of t	he require	nents for	the a	award o	f Ph.D.	/ D.Sc. /	D.Litt.	degre	ee in
the Facu	ilty of .			of Dr. S	hyama P	rasad	Mukhe	erjee,Un	iversity,	Ranchi	and	that
no part t	hereof l	nas been p	oresented	lelsewhere	for any	other	degree	or diplo	oma.			
I further	certify	that in ha	ıbit and c	haracter, N	Mr. / Mrs	s. / M i	iss			is	a fit	and
proper	person	for the	award c	of a Ph.D	. / D.Sc	c. / I	D.Litt.	degree	of Dr.	Shyam	a Pr	asad
Mukherj	jee,Univ	versity, Ra	anchi.									
								S	ignature	of Supe	rviso	or
]	Name	, Desig	nation &	Addres	ss of Suj	pervis	sor
Place :												
Date :												

(IN LETTER HEAD OF THE HEAD)

CERTIFICATE OF COURSE WORK

This is to ce	rtify that Mr. / Mrs. / Miss	F	Research Scholar of this				
Department ha	as satisfactorily completed the Ph.D. Course Work	of one S	Semester (January – June				
2015/ July -	December 2015) required for the Ph.D. Degree	as per	guidelines of the "UGC				
(Minimum Sta	andards and Procedure for Award of M.Phil. / Ph.D.	Degree) Regulations, 2016".				
The Course W	ork includes:						
Paper I:	Research Methodology	-	6 Credits				
Paper II : Subject Specific Modules - 6 Credits							
	(One credit given for 14 lectures of one hr. duration	n)					
It is further ce	ertified that Mr. / Mrs. / Miss	ha	as been awarded				
Points based	on UGC Seven Point Grading System and 10 F	Point So	cale on the basis of the				
evaluation of l	his / her progress and presentation on the Ph.D. Cou	rse wor	k by the RAC and DRC.				
Thus, he was	allowed / Not allowed "to proceed further" for his	/ her R	esearch Work leading to				
the award of	Ph.D. Degree in, Fauclty of		of this University.				
SUF	PERVISOR		Head,				
		Dept	of				
			(Seal)				

CERTIFICATE OF PROCEDURE ADOPTED IN THE Ph.D. PROGAMME

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

This is to certify that Mr. / Mrs. / Miss
of may be awarded the Ph.D. Degree of DSPMU, Ranchi, in the
Faculty of Science / Social Science / Humanities subject to the successful completion of
Evaluation Process of the thesis entitled, which is in accordance with the
prescribed guidelines, assented by the Hon'ble Chancellor of the Universities of Jharkhand for
implementation of the Research Programme and as the norms and regulations for the purpose laid
down by University Grant Commission, New Delhi as UGC (Minimum Standard and Procedure
for Award of M.Phil. / Ph.D. Degree) Regulations, 2016, amended upto date.

The following procedure has been adopted in the Ph.D. Programme:

- 1. Students are selected for admission in Ph.D. Programme through Ph.D Entrance Test or other eligibility criteria.
- 2. Short listed eligible candidates are interviewed and then the candidates are required to discuss their proposed topic of research through presentation before the DRC in the open pre-registration seminar.
- 3. The admitted candidates have to do the Ph.D. Course Work of one semester comprising of Research Methodology (6 credits) and subject specific course (6 Credits).
- 4. The Scholars after completing the Ph.D. Course Work of one Semester are evaluated through written examination conducted by the Examination Department of the University. The marks obtained may be converted into grades based on UGC seven grading system and 10 point scale. Based on marks obtained the candidates are allowed "to proceed further" for his / her research work leading to Ph.D. Degree by the H.o.D. and Dean.
- 5. Registered candidates submit his / her progress report on six monthly basis for appraisal before the RAC/DRC.
- 6. Candidates are permitted for an open pre-submission seminar of his / her finding of Research Work and have published at least one research paper in referred journal and have presented at least two Research Papers in conference / seminar / symposium.
- 7. Subject to recommendation of Supervisor, DRC, Head and Dean and based on the candidates performance during Ph.D. Course Work as well as during Ph.D. Research Progress Report and in open presentation students are allowed to submit the thesis.
- 8. A 300 word summary of the Ph.D. thesis is transmitted to UGC for appraisal.

Dean Head (Signature with seal) (Signature with seal)

(Panel of Examiners to be furnished by supervisor)

PANELS OF EXAMINERS

										entitled "
Miss					Regn.	No.	& Date	of do	ctoral	Mr. / Mrs. / registration :
					the award o	_				In the Faculty
Candi	idate's a	area of s	pecializa	tion	:					
Candi	idate's]	place of	research	worl	k:					
Name	of supe	ervisor v	vith desig	gnatio	on & addres	ss:				
Panel	of Exan	niners								
			de the sta		ountry					
1.	Postal	Address	:							
	Specia	lization								
2.	Postal	Address	:							
	Specia	lization								
3.	Postal	Address	:							
	Specia	lization								

4.		Designation
	_	Telephone / Fax :
5.	Name :	Designation
		Telephone / Fax :
6.	Name:	Designation
		•
	iners from out side the Univers	•
(at lea	st six (6) names covering all th	he regions of the country are to be suggested)
1.	Name:	Designation
	Specialization :	
	Email address :	Telephone / Fax :
2.	Name :	Designation
	Postal Address:	
	Specialization	
	Email address :	Telephone / Fax :
3.	Name :	Designation
	Specialization :	
	Email address :	
4.	Name:	Designation
	Specialization	
	Email address :	Telephone / Fax :

5. Name: Designation
Postal Address:
Specialization :
Email address :
6.Name: Designation
Postal Address:
Specialization:
Email address :
Signature of the Supervisor
REMARKS AND FORWARDING NOTE OF THE DRC
The Departmental Research Council (DRC) in its meeting held on
in the University Department of approves the panel of
examiners and recommends the same to the Dean of the Faculty of for
necessary follow-up action. As per the regulations, the panel of examiners is to be considered by
the concerned Post-Graduate Research Council before appointment is made by Vice-Chancellor
Two external and one internal examiner are to be appointed.
Name & signature of the DRC members
1
2
3
4
Signature of the DRC chairman

REMARKS AND FORWARDING NOTE OF DEAN OF THE FACULTY:

Signature of the Dean of the Faculty

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

All	addresses	are o	comple	ete a	and	carry	other	necessary	information.	Opinion	of the	Post-G	raduate
Re	search Cou	ncil 1	may be	e sou	ught								

	Controller of Examinations
	Date :
Remarks of the Post-Graduate Research Council	
Order of the Vice-Chancellor	
Sl.Noapproved from panel of examiners (Examiners	s from out side the State or Country)
Sl.No approved from panel of examiners (Examiner	s outside the University)
Supervisor is appointed internal examiner.	
	Vice-Chancellor

Name of the University Ph.D. / D.Litt. / D.Sc. Thesis Evaluation Report

Clarity: Are the ideas expressed clear and concise? Are the concepts understandable? Are the

concepts in the thesis logically organized?

Originality: Is the work relevant and novel? Does the work contain significant additional material to that already published? If you feel that the work presented is not original, then suggest references for transmission to the author. Please identify the portion of thesis highlighting original contribution. (Attach additional sheet. If required)
Diagrams, figures, tables and captions: Are they clear and essential?
Text: Is the thesis clearly and intelligently conceived and stated showing insight and a growth of understanding?
Grammar and composition : Does grammar and composition adhere to proper grammatical usage, logical format and correct spelling ?
Referencing: Has the author referred to the most recent and most appropriate work? Is the present work set in the context of the previous work? Are the cited materials relevant and important to the topic? (Attach additional sheet. If required)
Balance : Do you think, the overall balance and structure of the thesis is good ? Should the authors concentrate more on a specific area of the thesis, or are some sections, which are unnecessary and should have been reduced or eliminated ? (Attach additional sheet. If required)
Conclusion : Does the thesis contain a carefully written conclusion, summarizing what has been done and why it is interesting and useful ? (Attach additional sheet. If required)

REPORT ON THE VIVA-VOCE OR PRACTICAL EXAMINATION

Name of the candidate:					
Regn. No. & Date of doctoral registration: Thesis Title: Place of work:					
			Name of Supervisor (s) with designation & address:		
In viva-voce examination, the examiners and the DRC have to ensure that the :					
 Work reported in the thesis has been written by the candidates; Candidate is familiar with the subject matter and whatever is written in the thesis; Candidate is able to clarify the research methods and findings in reference to the questions / comments raised in the reports of external and internal examiners. Candidate is well conversant with the state-of-the-art. Thesis in totality is of an appropriate standard. 					
The viva-voce examination of the candidate referred above was held in the University Department					
of					
University Office letter vide no, dated, Dr.					
from , as an external					
examiner and Dr as an internal examiner were present besides the					
members of the DRC and the members of the faculty.					
General remarks					
Specific remarks, if any					

Recommendation:	
Name & Signature of the External Examiner	Signature of the Supervisor
Signature of the DRC Members	
1	
2	
3	
4	
	Signature of the DRC Chairman